2016 CARE HOMES TRADING PERFORMANCE REVIEW

HIGHLIGHTS

Occupancy rates edge up to 88.4% and average weekly fees rise to £694

Staff costs continue to increase and now consume 58.2% of income

EBITDARM rises for the first time in four years, to stand at 27.5% of income
Advances in the Healthcare Sector

Over the five years that we have been analysing the rich datasets kindly provided by leading care home operators, we have learnt a lot about the key metrics used in the industry and about the depth of analysis which can be carried out.

The Care Homes Trading Performance Index provides industry-leading benchmarks on occupancy rates, levels of private and publicly-funded income, average weekly fees, costs such as staff and agency outlays, and profitability. As figures 1 & 2 show, the distribution of homes in our sample is geographically diverse and covers a range of sizes from care homes with fewer than 40 beds to those with more than 100 beds. This allows for some interesting regional analysis as well as the ability to look at the variation of performance by size, type of care and combinations of these.

Headline results show that for the 2015/16 financial year occupancy rates edged marginally higher and average fee levels outstripped RPI inflation for the third consecutive year. Issues with rising staff costs which we highlighted in last year’s report have continued, with labour costs increasing again both in absolute terms and as a percentage of income. Staffing seems to be the biggest and most uncertain issue facing the industry with the introduction of the National Living Wage and uncertainty on freedom of movement of labour within the EU following the referendum.

The main headline however is that increased costs are offset by the higher income, leading to an uptick in profitability across the sector. Annual profit levels have grown for only the second time in the nine years of historical data which we have compiled. This is clearly a welcome result for operators battling in these challenging times.

We aim to increase our analytical capabilities in relation to the healthcare sector over the coming months so look out for more insightful analysis in the future along with the ability to look at long-term trends when we interrogate a decade long time-series in the next edition of this report.
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Knight Frank is pleased to introduce the fifth annual review of trading performance in the UK care home sector.

2015/16 RESULTS AT A GLANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCCUPANCY</th>
<th>AVERAGE WEEKLY FEES</th>
<th>STAFF COSTS (% OF INCOME)</th>
<th>EBITDARM (% OF INCOME)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>£694</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.7%</td>
<td>£600</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.7%</td>
<td>£688</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Knight Frank Research

OCCUPANCY AND FEES

The care home occupancy rate edged up during FY 2015/16, to 88.4%.

Occupancy

Occupancy rates continued to increase in the financial year 2015/16. Whilst there was only a marginal uplift from 88.3%, the latest figure of 88.4% marks the fifth consecutive year of growing occupancy and the highest rate since 2008 as shown in figure 3. Throughout the history of the dataset, occupancy levels sit within the 87% to 90% range suggesting a degree of equilibrium taking into account the natural level of vacancy from turnover of residents.

Regional disaggregation of occupancy rates shows a range between 86.2% and 92.1% very similar to the range over time for the wider UK market, reinforcing current equilibrium levels (figure 4). Northern Ireland has the highest occupancy level of 92.1%, some way above Scotland at 90.4%. The North West is the only other region to stand out above the national average with occupancy at 89.5%. Occupancy in Greater London has fallen since last year’s reporting where it topped 90.8%. The North East has the highest occupancy level (92.0%) in the South West at 90.4%. The North West is the only other region to stand out above the national average with occupancy at 89.5%.

Occupancy in Greater London has fallen since last year’s reporting where it topped 90.8%. The North East has the highest occupancy level (92.0%) in the South West at 90.4%. The North West is the only other region to stand out above the national average with occupancy at 89.5%. Occupancy in Greater London has fallen since last year’s reporting where it topped 90.8%. The North East has the highest occupancy level (92.0%) in the South West at 90.4%.

Regional occupancy rates by region (FY 2015/16)

Source: Knight Frank Research

ELDERLY CARE PROVISION

This report assesses care facilities with a bias towards nursing homes in our sample. Note that many facilities provide both nursing care and personal care on-site, and these fall under Nursing in our analysis.

Nursing care
Care home with a professionally qualified nursing staff

Personal care
Residential care home with non-professionally qualified care staff

Extra care
Retirement housing, where residents live independently with care services attached as required

Domiciliary care
Care provided at the resident’s own home

Note: Many facilities provide both nursing care and personal care on-site, and these fall under Nursing in our analysis.

Source: Knight Frank Research
2023 and 82.8 years by 2028. This drives forecast population growth for those over 80 from 3.0 million in 2015 to 3.3 million in 2020, and 5.0 million by 2035.

Average weekly fees
Average weekly fees for care homes rose in the last financial year from £897 to £926, a growth rate of 2.7%, picking up pace from 2.3% the year prior, and marking the fifth consecutive year of growth and another all-time high (figure 5). In real terms average weekly fees have been rising since 2012/13 and have now surpassed their 2006/7 levels, the start date for the historical dataset.

This is the first time this level has been breached since 2009/10 as fees have outstripped the low levels of inflation of the last couple of years. Current fee levels are significantly higher for nursing care where staff costs are augmented by the higher qualification levels required by staff. Growth rates in average weekly fees were higher for nursing care than for personal care, a reversal of the recent trend. This may be explained by higher wage growth for the more highly qualified nursing staff as labour markets became tighter in an environment where national unemployment dropped below 5%.

As shown in figure 6, analysis by type and region shows the most lucrative average fees of £926 are made in nursing homes situated in the South East, a product of higher property & labour costs in the region coupled with high levels of demand and a more affluent population. London also has high nursing home fees in relation to other parts of the UK, at £856.

The demand driver for higher fees is evident in the levels of income coming from self-funding clients. In the South East for example, where there is the largest pool of affluent people, 51.2% of income is derived from private revenue. The North East by contrast sees only 19.5% of income from self-funding clients.

The range of average weekly fees is much greater than that of occupancy rates with those in nursing care running from the £897 in the South East to £566 in the North East. For personal care the range is tighter but still spread from £727 in the South East to £330 in Northern Ireland. The premium paid for nursing over personal care ranges between 21% and 24% across all regions other than the North East where nursing only offers 4% higher fees for operators.

The reasons behind this are likely to be very low levels of private funding for residents. Nursing care relies on NHS & local authority funding for 64% of income which contrasts to personal care where private funding is just over 50%. By region and type this reliance on government funding is least evident for personal care in the South West with 78.5% of income coming from private individuals driven by demand from wealthier individuals to be resident in some of the most desirable locations in the UK.

The evidence available in the six months since the end of the financial year suggests that income has increased from the data we are able to analyse to end-March 2016. Initial analysis suggests local authority income has increased by around 4-5% in the latest financial year. Additionally the increase in NHS income stopped nursing care will also feed through to higher income. Whilst only an interim measure, the independent review of the rate paid by the NHS to nursing homes, has led to a rise from £112 per week to £116.25, a 4% increase. The government accepted the recommendations and backdated the rise to April 1st which will add a step change in income from the previous to the current financial year.

As the demand driver of average weekly fees is a combination of residents’ affluence and government income, the future is reliant on the outcome of government health policy, and the capital pots of potential residents. The former is likely to be impacted by the political and economic uncertainty surrounding the UK’s negotiations to leave the EU, though changes to health spending may benefit. The latter may be impacted by the future of the residential property market as many people sell their homes to use the capital for residential care.
low staff turnover should allow for fee rises over time, particularly in affluent areas, and the reputational risk to an operator should reduce as staff engagement and loyalty produce better results.

It is important to note the analysis period ends 31st March 2016 and thus does not include the impact from implementation of the National Living Wage on 1st April 2016 which increased minimum hourly wages from £6.70 to £7.20 for workers aged 25 or above. This 7.5% increase for lower paid staff will impact staff cost increases next year and years to come if the government sticks to its existing future rises.

**Property costs**

Property costs, whilst small in comparison to staffing costs, must also be managed in order to maximise profits. These costs rose by 2.3% from 2015/15 to 2015/16 to £2,194 per occupied bed, an increase from 6.1% to 6.7% of income (figure 9).

On a regional basis these costs range from a low of 5.6% of income in the West Midlands to 9.0% in the North East, which in the latter case is high due to low income rather than high absolute property costs.

The increase in property costs is being driven by maintenance and utilities costs. Increasingly maintenance needs to be carried out on older homes as they continue to depreciate at a time when new developments are coming on stream. The need to compete will lead to major capital expenditure decisions over coming years, especially for smaller, older homes, as major refurbishment or redevelopment may be the only strategy for remaining profitable.

Two areas linked to sustainability have rising costs. Energy consumption is increasing property costs and investing in technology to reduce energy bills is a good way for operators to influence profitability. Likewise, rising waste management costs are dragging on margins. A concerted effort to reduce, re-use and recycle will benefit the bottom line whilst appealing to residents who are increasingly concerned about their environmental impact.

Property costs show clear economies of scale with smaller care homes consuming a higher percentage of income. Homes with fewer than 40 beds see 7.6% of their income eaten up by property costs. This reduces to 7.0% for homes with 40-59 beds, to 6.6% for homes with 60-99 beds and then drops to 6.0% for the largest homes of 100 or more beds. This is also influenced by the fact that newer homes tend to be larger and hence more energy efficient and do not require the same level of maintenance.

On average there is little difference between property costs in nursing homes compared to personal care homes where the costs are 6.7% and 6.9% respectively and this is true across most regions.

**Food costs**

The third largest cost for care home operators is the food bill which consumes 3.6% of income. Regional spread is again intuitive with the South East, London and the South West all significantly above the national average, between 7% and 12% higher (figure 10). Only the North East and Northern Ireland have significantly lower food costs per resident at 7.6% and 13.9% respectively. Interestingly there does not seem to be much in the way of efficiency gain from larger homes with food costs showing little variation by size of home.

A trend to watch will be the change in approach to food provision, particularly in homes with higher fees. Nutrition is becoming a more important issue across the UK with each generation more aware of the benefits of healthy eating than the last. Quality produce costs more, but for some care homes a move to all-inclusive provision of bespoke menus, with a variety of choices, prepared by resident chefs, will be welcomed by residents who are willing and able to pay premium rates for this premium service.
The 2015/16 financial year witnessed a much welcomed pick up in profitability, up from 27.1% in 2014/15 to 27.5%, as measured by EBITDARM. This is only the second year profitability has increased as a percentage of income in the 9 year history of the dataset as a slow downward trend has gripped the sector. 2011/12 was the only other year to see an uptick as profitability has been gradually moving downwards from the 32.8% in 2007. Profitability between the two types of care home has reduced since the last reporting period, with the national rate of EBITDARM for nursing homes of £9,987 per resident only 1.4% higher than the £9,851 seen in personal care homes. For the financial year 2014/15 there was a 7% profitability premium for nursing care. When EBITDARM is expressed as a percentage of income, however, the reverse is true, as the income levels per resident are lower as are staffing costs. Personal care homes have profitability levels of 32.3% of revenue compared to only 26.4% for nursing homes.

As we see an increase in the proportion of income from self-funding in personal care there is likely to be a point in the near future when the average absolute EBITDARM level moves higher for personal care than it is for nursing care. This is particularly true for more affluent areas of the UK where the higher levels of profitability and lower levels of risk associated with personal care make it very appealing. Geographically the most profitable homes are in London and the South East where EBITDARM as a percentage of income are 32.6% and 32.3% respectively. No other region has a rate above 30%. Profit margins in Northern Ireland are much harder to achieve with EBITDARM as a proportion of revenue down at 15.5%. Profitability in Wales is also more difficult to achieve at 21.5% as is the North East, where weekly fees are lowest and EBITDARM as a percentage of income is 22.7%. All other regions are within the range of 25-30%. Combining the regional picture with care home type, it is not a surprise that the lowest profit margins are for nursing homes in Northern Ireland and some of the highest are for personal care homes in the South East, at 34.5%. It is interesting that the most profitable region type is for personal care in the North West which is driven by the low levels of staff costs.

It appears that economies of scale work only to a point in terms of EBITDARM as a percentage of income. As care homes grow in size they become more profitable up to the 60-79 bed size band. This seems to be the optimal size, with profitability levels at 29.4% with 80-99 bed homes not far behind at 29.1%. At either end of the spectrum though, small and large homes are much less profitable at around 25% of income. Looking across the two care home types shows a contrast though as personal care homes with 100 or more beds is the most profitable sector at 35.9%.

Source: Knight Frank Research
Distribution of profit margins across the CH-TPI (FY 2015/16)
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Source: Knight Frank Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prof. margin</th>
<th>40-59 beds</th>
<th>Profit margin 31-40%</th>
<th>0-10%</th>
<th>0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levels of service in great locations can make very healthy profit levels.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Performance Indicators by size of care home (FY 2015/16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AVERAGE WEEKLY FEE</th>
<th>OCCUPANCY</th>
<th>STAFF COST % INCOME</th>
<th>EBITDARM PER BED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40 beds</td>
<td>£676</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59 beds</td>
<td>£678</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-79 beds</td>
<td>£720</td>
<td>88.7%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-99 beds</td>
<td>£748</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100+ beds</td>
<td>£667</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL CARE HOMES</td>
<td>£694</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Knight Frank Research

So it seems large homes lend themselves to being profitable for personal care but not so much for nursing homes which provide an EBITDARM as a percentage of income of 24.6%. Small homes, with fewer than 40 beds are the least profitable for both personal and nursing care at 29.6% and 21.6% respectively. In absolute terms the story is slightly different with £11,616 EBITDARM created per resident in nursing homes with between 80-99 beds, the highest of any size band. For personal care homes the largest homes are most profitable in absolute terms with £11,829 annual profit per resident. Unsurprisingly on a regional basis the South East is the most profitable place to operate care homes for both nursing care (£14,964) and personal care (£13,395).

Whilst averages are an interesting and intuitive way to analyse markets, distributions give an added dimension of insight. Considering 10 percentage point brackets for EBITDARM as a percentage of income the largest proportion of homes (29.8%) make a profit of between 20% and 30%. Another 25% of homes make between 30% and 40% profit. The most interesting statistic though is that 13% of all homes make a profit margin above 40%. This shows that there is great demand among relatively wealthy individuals for the most prime care homes. Operators who choose to run modern care homes with the highest levels of service in great locations can make very healthy profit levels.

In a period which seems bound to be full of issues over the coming years, whilst in relation to many industries the underlying fundamentals seem to insulate the care home sector from many of the macroeconomic challenges on the horizon for the UK. In a period which seems bound to be dominated by the UK renegotiating its relationship with the European Union, care home operators may feel nicely insulated from some of the largest impacts of leaving the EU.

The industry is inherently a domestic-focused one, catering to UK residents by providing care at UK locations. In the short term there may be some impact from rising food prices, as weaker sterling drives up food price inflation and this may be the first real impact to be felt in the sector from the EU referendum. The more concerning impacts from EU negotiations will only be felt in the medium term but are likely to be more dissipative as they relate to labour markets.

As the largest cost component for care home operators, staff costs seem destined to continue their rise and this will pose a challenge. Operators who currently find sourcing and retaining staff difficult, may need to further rely on filling the shortfall through employment agencies. This comes at a cost both financially and from a loss of continuity in service. If the outcome of the UK’s negotiations to leave the EU does not maintain free movement of labour, the shortage of qualified and experienced care home staff will shrink, pushing up wages. The need to allow industry workers into the UK, whether from the EU or elsewhere, will not be easily solved and will undoubtedly be affected by government policy-making in the most emotive issue of current times.

Admittedly it may be some years before UK negotiations on movement of EU labour are agreed and final deals may produce positive outturns. The more immediate labour issues relate to the National Living Wage which was introduced on 1st April 2016 and is putting upward pressure on labour costs during the current financial year. The increase of the minimum hourly pay rate from £6.70 to £7.20 raises costs for employers by £910 per full time employee. Future commitments to raise the level further will compound costs and operators will need to adjust their fee levels to reflect this. Those who are able to invest in their staff and provide a premium service will see the results in profitability. Another concern for the industry comes from the recent change to NHS-funded nursing care rates, which were raised following an independent review completed in July. The 40% increase from a weekly rate of £112 per eligible care home resident, to £165.25 may seem welcome when taken at face value, but concerns on the sustainability of this rate suggest this may be revisited down at the end of the current financial year as well as becoming varied by region. Arriving at a level which is both adequate for residents and sustainable for the NHS is important to ensure the operators of nursing care homes can sensibly project their income and costs. A changing level or a complex system is likely to be unhelpful. It is therefore unsurprising to learn that operators have repositioned their nursing facilities to focus on personal care, and in particular, the self-funding market where fee uplifts have been as much as 8%.

The uncertain economic outlook for the UK following the EU referendum presents relative opportunities for the UK healthcare sector. From an investment perspective real estate offering long income is widely sought after in a risk-off environment which makes healthcare attractive for core UK investors. In addition, the fall in sterling makes the sector interesting to overseas investors who are more opportunist in nature.

When this is coupled with strong demographic trends and the robust operator performance highlighted in this report, it seems the industry is better placed than most to weather the uncertain economic and political times ahead. Ironically, since the referendum, there has been increased overseas appetite from a wider global investor pool, most notably from sovereign wealth and institutions from Asia-Pacific. Globalisation appears to be on the march despite domestic headwinds in the UK. Over the next twelve months expect to see increasing staff costs and increasing weekly fees. Occupancy levels are also likely to rise driven by the insatiable demand from an ageing population. To further compound bed supply, the National Living Wage is forcing closure of unviable care homes that, generally, have fewer than 25 beds. Care homes in affluent areas of the country will benefit most, particularly for personal care, and we may see EBITDARM per resident for personal care outstrip that of nursing care for the UK as a whole. The defensive characteristics of the care home sector are highly likely to attract increasing investor appetite over the next 12 months.
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